# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X **Docket#** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 12-cr-00134-ERK-MDG

- versus - U.S. Courthouse

: Brooklyn, New York
ADNAN IBRAHIM HARUN A HAUSA,
also known as "Spin Ghul",
:

also known as "Esbin Gol", also known as "Isbungoul",

also known as "Abu Tamim", :
also known as "Joseph Johnson",: September 4, 2013

also known as "Joseph Johnson",
also known as
"Mortala Mohamed Adam",
:

Defendant :

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD R. KORMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Government: Loretta E. Lynch, Esq.

United States Attorney

BY: Shreve Ariail, Esq.

Amanda Hector, Esq.

Assistant U.S. Attorney 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant: David Stern, Esq.

Rothman, Schneider, Soloway & Stern, P.C. 100 Lafayette Street

Suite 501

New York, NY 10013

<u>Transcription Service</u>: Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

740 Sharon Road

Copiague, New York 11726 Transcriptions2@verizon.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
2
                            Proceedings
 1
              THE CLERK: United States v. Adnan Hausa.
 2
              Your appearances, counsel.
 3
              MR. ARIAIL: Good morning, your Honor.
 4
              Shreve Ariail and Amanda Hector for the United
 5
   States.
 6
              MR. STERN: Good morning. David Stern for
 7
   Mr. Hausa.
 8
              THE COURT: All right.
 9
              THE CLERK: The interpreter previously sworn is
10
   still under oath. Please state your name for the record.
11
              THE INTERPRETER: My name is Mohammed Azziz
12
   Shuaib.
1.3
    (INTERPRETER PREVIOUSLY SWORN)
14
              THE COURT: We're on for status, Judge.
15
              MR. ARIAIL: Your Honor, at the last status
16
   conference, we had advised the Court that we would have
17
   discussions with our counterparts in Washington and also
18
   with defense counsel about setting a scheduling order for
19
   a motion related to the classified issues in the case.
20
   We submitted a letter this morning and a proposed order
21
   on the schedule but I believe defense counsel may have
22
   some issues with that.
2.3
              MR. STERN: The issue is not in particular with
24
   the schedule but with the idea that I should make
25
   decisions for Mr. Hausa when it's yet to be resolved
```

3 Proceedings 1 whether or not he is going to act as his own lawyer. 2 and I have had conversations. He has told me what he 3 wishes to do or hopes to do. And those things may not be 4 precisely what I would do were I his lawyer but if he has 5 a right to act as his own lawyer, and that right is 6 obviously separate from his competence to be tried, that 7 seems to me needs to be decided before I make any decisions on his behalf that he might later claim were 8 decisions he would not have elected to make. 10 So I think any decisions on how he should be 11 defended, what should be done, what should be 12 investigated, et cetera, have to be held in abeyance 1.3 until there's a decision about whether or not he is going 14 to act as his own counsel. 15 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Hausa? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I want to defend 17 myself. I want to be thrown to the highest supreme court (indiscernible). 18 19 THE COURT: I can't hear you. The highest 20 supreme court of what? 21 THE INTERPRETER: -- of Washington. 22 THE DEFENDANT: The issue, my issue before it 2.3 came to killing the American soldiers in Afghanistan --24 MR. STERN: Judge, can I just interrupt him for 25 one second? I'm sorry.

```
4
                           Proceedings
 1
              You know, it's my advice to him if I am still
 2
   his lawyer that he not be saying these kinds of things,
 3
   that he assert his Fifth Amendment right to remain
 4
   silent. Just sit here --
 5
              THE COURT: I'm not going to let the government
 6
   use anything he says --
 7
              MR. STERN:
                         Okay.
 8
              THE COURT: -- until we get this resolved.
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: Just like what we did like
10
   killing the American soldiers in Afghanistan. I stated
11
   that before even going to Afghanistan for killing the
12
   Americans and have now a --
13
              THE COURT: Mr. Hausa, if you want to be your
14
   own lawyer, I have to go through an allocution. I have
15
    to ask you questions and answers to be sure that you
16
   fully understand what you're doing and in my view, the
17
   mistake that you're making by -- to be sure that you know
18
   what you're doing. I mean what is the sentence that he
19
   faces?
20
              MR. ARIAIL: Your Honor?
21
              THE COURT: What is the sentence, the maximum
22
   sentence?
2.3
              MR. ARIAIL: Life imprisonment, your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: So you face life imprisonment here.
25
   And you'll probably wind up in some -- in Colorado where
```

2.3

# Proceedings

they keep the most dangerous criminals inside a mountain and never see anyone. So in a sense, it's like deciding to go through major surgery in which you could lose your life and you decide to be your own surgeon. Now that doesn't make sense.

But if you want to do it, under the Constitution of the United States, you have the right to do that. But I have to go through an allocution with you to be sure that you know what you're doing.

MR. ARIAIL: Your Honor, if I may too add just in terms of competency, obviously we talked about competency at the last status conference and as we have indicated, the doctor had found him competent to stand trial. There's a case that's a Supreme Court case, Indiana v. Edwards, and it is 554 U.S. 164 (2008), that suggests that there may be additional considerations that the Court should consider with respect to mental competency to -- for a defendant to proceed pro se.

So I think before we get to the point of having him go through the allocution, we would likely have to do or at least get some kind of additional analysis from the doctor who has examined him previously.

THE COURT: Do you have that case?

THE CLERK: Do you have the case with you?

MR. ARIAIL: I don't have the case with me,

```
6
                           Proceedings
 1
   your Honor. I'm sorry.
 2
              MR. STERN: Judge, I have a copy here if you
 3
   want to see it.
 4
              THE COURT: Yes, I would like to see it.
              MR. ARIAIL: Your Honor, I think I'll direct
 5
 6
   you to page 176.
 7
              THE COURT: 176 of the U.S. report?
 8
              MR. ARIAIL: Yes, your Honor.
 9
              (Pause)
              THE COURT: I have Dr. Mills' letter. Does he
10
11
   say that he suffers from mental illness?
12
              MS. HECTOR: Your Honor, I am not sure that I
1.3
   can characterize whether Dr. Mills as an expert would
14
   call what I am about to say mental illness or personality
15
    issues; I'm not sure what he would characterize it to be.
16
              THE COURT: I don't know. I am reading the
17
   Supreme Court case which I happen to be one of those
18
   judges that the Supreme Court refer to that think that
19
    the right to the ineffective assistance of counsel, which
20
   essentially Loretta enshrines is silly but that's the
21
         But the case that you gave me talks about, at least
22
   some degree of mental illness affecting his capacity to
2.3
   represent himself.
24
              MS. HECTOR: Yes, your Honor. I would -- in
25
   the conclusions --
```

Proceedings

THE COURT: I mean if you had this case, I don't know why you didn't ask the doctor to be more specific in his report.

1.3

2.3

MR. ARIAIL: Your Honor, I think that's what -and you know I apologize that this was not perfected
before we came here to court today but I think that's
what we would propose doing is having the doctor to
consider those factors in Indiana v. Edwards and any
other factors that might be out there and at least opine
upon his ability to proceed pro se.

The other issues that has come up in the last 24 hours is we've also received additional information from the BOP about his behavior at the BOP, that the BOP medical people have concerns about. Now it's unclear whether that behavior is the same stuff that Dr. Mills considered or whether that's additional stuff. So we at least need to have Dr. Mills consider that in addition to presumably the factors in Indiana v. Edwards.

MR. STERN: Judge, I'm not sure -- or I guess to be more forceful, I don't think that this can continue being a joint venture between the government and the defense because of at some point this defendant says, for example, on an appeal, I really wasn't fit. There has to be some kind of adversarial proceeding, I think. Again, I'm speaking for him when he wants to speak for himself.

8 Proceedings 1 We're in this odd limbo where we're kind of deciding if 2 he can speak for himself as a lawyer or as a defendant 3 representing himself in court. 4 But, you know, having looked at some of these 5 cases that the government was kind enough to tell me 6 about, it seems to me that his mental health is an issue 7 and having spoken to him, I think there are at least potential mental health issues, some of which he 8 9 acknowledges and some of which he doesn't. 10 So I think we're going to take another step of 11 mental health examination. Each party should have their 12 own expert. He should be examined by both parties. They 13 may reach agreement and they may not. I don't know mean 14 to predict how that will come out. 15 THE COURT: Well whose expert was Dr. Mills? 16 didn't get the impression --17 MR. STERN: He was a joint expert. 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MR. STERN: Both parties agreed that he would 20 do the part that he's done. I'm not trying to undo that 21 but I am saying that of there's going to be further 22 psychiatric examinations with other potential goals in 2.3 mind, then I think each party should have their own 24 expert. And I don't mean that disqualifies Dr. Mills 25 from doing it for the government but we may want our own

```
9
                           Proceedings
 1
   expert or at least want to see what he says and decide if
 2
   we want our own expert.
 3
              MR. ARIAIL: And, your Honor, this -- sorry,
 4
   this is the first I am learning of this, as well.
 5
    think we may --
 6
              MR. STERN: That's --
 7
              MR. ARIAIL: -- need to discuss that
 8
    (indiscernible).
 9
              MR. STERN: Yes, you know, Judge, a lot of this
10
   I've only begun thinking about very recently. I was on
11
   trial for a long time. I've now begun sort of to focus
   on this case and as long as I'm talking about problems in
12
13
   this case, if he is allowed to represent himself, there
14
   would be issues, I think, with classified information.
15
   He is not allowed to look at it. Someone would have to
16
   look at it, make applications about the parts that --
17
              THE COURT: Well first of all, whether he's
18
   allowed to look at it or not, if he represents himself is
19
   an interesting question which I don't --
20
              MR. STERN: I don't know the answer either.
21
              THE COURT: Neither do I.
22
              MR. STERN: There would also be --
2.3
              THE COURT: I don't take it as a given that it
24
    could necessarily be withheld from him.
25
              MR. STERN: Yes, I guess I don't know the
```

```
10
                           Proceedings
 1
   answer to that.
 2
              THE COURT:
                         It's an interesting question.
 3
              MR. STERN: There also will be investigations
   that need to be done, some of them outside the country
 4
 5
   and it's obvious that he can't do that. So, I don't say
   that to urge you not to allow him to represent himself.
 6
 7
   That's his choice ultimately.
              THE COURT: I'm not interested -- I don't think
 8
 9
   he should represent himself. It's not like I'm --
10
              MR. STERN: I don't either.
11
              THE COURT: -- interested in having him
12
   represent himself but that's a separate question.
13
              All right. You wanted to speak, Mr. Hausa --
14
   Harun, I'm sorry -- Hausa.
15
              THE DEFENDANT: The way I am thinking I went
16
   away last time when I was here --
17
              THE COURT: Could you talk -- move the
18
   microphone next to you?
19
              THE DEFENDANT: And last time when I came here,
20
   if I could remember when the doctor came out of
21
   Washington and examined me, and he said I'm sound, I'm
22
   okay. And I want to speak about what you are talking
2.3
   about.
24
              In Lybia, under -- when I was arrested, the
25
   truth is that through the politics, the kind of food I
```

1.3

2.3

Proceedings

was given from the water, the kid of water I was given, they were putting a lot of drugs inside, so that I shouldn't be able to talk.

This happened during years and if you want to listen from my explanations, what one of the policemen of Lybia told me, they have the technology and it's afraid and it goes through somebody's body. They use it to read the mind of the person. I don't know the truth about that. That's number one.

The secondly, the truth is I was in Lybia until now that I am in this courtroom, and there are some things about Lybia that came to flash in my head and there are sometimes I over -- I heard something, they are talks come to my ears. I don't know whether it was this (indiscernible) subject, I do hear them -- they do talk in Arabic and also MCC is the same.

Even at MCC psychology, those doctor, the psychologist doctor, they came and sat with me, not once, not twice. They do ask me about what I do here and things of search and I told them that -- I think this technology is CIA's technology. I don't know and I'm not sure about that only that now.

In Italy, before the FBI took me for two weeks, those who are working there at the City, Benevento City.

They do arrest me by false -- they took an injection and

2.3

#### Proceedings

some word, they put me -- they put a shot in me. And they didn't take my -- my consent. After they gave me those injections, I can feel something in my head as if it's balloon that is blown up and it's like a blowing on the -- and it shrinks. And I am feeling that just a compressor in my head is shrinking.

And after two weeks, they did that, I was brought here. All those things that I been hearing in Lybia were disturbing me and after that shot, that injection, and it gots to much on me. And I told FBI this talk. I don't know if you heard about that or not.

And my head is okay. And if you ask me about what I am saying, I know what I am saying. It's not that I am crazy. I'm good. My head is okay.

What I want to explain, I know last time you told me when I was here what you told me, either I represent myself or I use the lawyer to represent me. I -- what I want to tell you and I told them since -- in Italy, the City Argigento and when they -- I was asked on that, I told them. What's I want to say is that -- an explanation and the truth has to be told in front of everybody and everybody should hear it.

And you, you may not see it very well, saying it better is important to me. In Afghanistan, it's so many people have to hide and was a shame, so many people

2.3

#### Proceedings

died. And again, September that 3,000 people died and you continue since then, since September 11, killing, killing till now. And every way in the world is like that. This policing that is going on and it's just like a secret one. I think it's not unnecessary. Even in Lybia, they heard it from me before the old man died and we do all this, whatever they said about killing of Khadafi and so on, and only those and any -- all those who are there in the Lybia, if old men are there, they can tell you what's going on.

That's why I want to tell you my issue. That's why I want you to send me to Washington. I said before, I was sent to Afghanistan, killing Americans and the issue is from Saudi Arabia, when I was in Saudi Arabia.

I have a relationship with Osama bin Laden's friends. I told them my idea of what I can contribute on Pentagon and they used the one -- that's they work with it, so when -- to go to Pentagon. The truth that I am guilty, that guilty -- the one of Afghanistan and also I'm guilty of the one of so far Washington.

And I think they should take me to the high court and I think if this is not the small issue, then I want the big Supreme Court to look at it. And I spent eleven months here in New York since I came from Italy.

And now I ask you did you speak to your counterpart is

2.3

#### Proceedings

CIA in Lybia? It's not my job but I didn't get nay reply out of that. And did you get some other information till now? To me (indiscernible) was missing, since I was arrested, since I was (indiscernible) -- since I was arrested in Lybia for twelve years. And (indiscernible) till now, it's -- oh, having it till now the year 2013, nobody spoke to me. And this is a very long time with the CIA since Lybia. They say that they are going to open a case with those in Saudi Arabia.

And it's true, they did some investigation with my family in Nigeria. Then they started asking them about Nigeria. But with my issue, they asking them questions. This is the work of CIA. And now it's FBI here in America. And you as a judge, you don't know all these and I don't know if this is important to you or if it's of no importance. To me, and this is an issue, it's a heavy issue to me if you can just put me to the high court and say everything and everything should be understood. Do -- those family that died in Pentagon or also in the plane crash at Pentagon, they should know what is going on. It's not an issue of the government.

What the sheriff was saying is not on that issue, the issue that happens in Pentagon. I think this issue of how he has to deal with those people, the people who are working in Pentagon, the armed forces that are

#### Proceedings

working in Pentagon and those who crashed in the aircraft at Pentagon. If you want to -- if you want to forgive them, you forgive them. If they don't want to forgive them, that's -- they're on their own.

Some of them are not here. Nobody's here to represent them. They are not running. Or they put something on my head and I told you last time -- I told you last time, I came to here, I say I'm continue doing my jihad. And whatever is doing now -- anyway you see it, I'm just working for the religion. I'm not fear -- I'm not fearful.

And all those people have -- I have elected them; Osama bin Laden and those more in Taliban -- Mohammed Omar (ph.), the one of Taliban. I'm not scared in this world. Anything that you need to do, they should do it.

All those things that you're talking about, I wrote a letter to Conqemon (ph.) and all these things. I had explained in that letter. Even if they take me to the world court, when they were talking about the local issue and the issue explained everything and everybody should get his own judgment. And I'm not hiding anything. I'm not ready to run anywhere. And I'm not scared.

Everything should be -- should be cleared in

2.3

#### Proceedings

front of everybody and everybody should know that I don't want anything hidden. It is there hiding things and about what they are doing and they want to use me as a secret officer because those in Lybia, the CIA in Lybia, they were offering me such -- you don't know that? You know that the CIA, they're working there. They are doing things even to Afghanistan but you are -- you're not aware what's -- it's between what they told me -- the CIA told me between me and them.

I don't want to be a secret agent and I'm not ready to be and that's what -- that's it on the issue of -- I want also the people of my country to know that -- to explain this. Whatever -- whatever is the judgment, whatever they want to do then, it should be right in front of the people of my country.

Which you -- you can do it through your protocol and permission. You have -- you have this diplomacy between the U.S. and the Niger. Those who were arrested in Afghanistan were taken to Guantanamo. They didn't explain it to them in America. But that the pentagon -- at the pentagon, they use the relationship that they have and take them to Guantanamo.

To say the relationship between the America and the -- those people that were arrested, they called their governments to go -- to go and see their people in

2.3

#### Proceedings

Guantanamo, the one that has nothing do with America was freed.

But here in America, the government -- we are now in America and Italy. It's not so the government of America that arrested me. From Lybia, I was taken to Italy and the one that told them to invite the American government. You call this secret -- secret policing? And this secret policing should stop. Since 2005, I was in the prison. Why? This was (indiscernible) if they kill me, they should kill me. If they want to do anything, that is fine.

My -- my -- my head is just there and I'm not feeling good -- I feel my head. I won't -- what's happened and anything that happens to anybody's life, they are secretive -- but anybody's life and this is going to reach a limit.

I'm not -- I'm not so refusing anything and I'm just talking through my conscience. That's what I wanted to tell you. If you can -- you can -- you can look to this issue, refer me to the big judge in Washington and also under war, we go to the international courts and you say you can't send me to the international court. I want the biggest judges in America just to look into this issue and I'm not American. I'm -- I'm not -- and you are not the one that arrested me. They arrested me in

```
18
                           Proceedings
 1
   Afghanistan. And because of the relationship that you
 2
   had with Italy, I was taken here and it's not like I was
 3
   -- I was whatever in Italy, it's not like I was there.
 4
   It took -- they took steps to bring me here. That's the
 5
   truth. And this is -- it's not your right.
 6
             THE COURT: Are you finished?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
             THE COURT: First of all, I can't send you to
 8
 9
   the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court here has seventy
10
   cases a year and they only hear criminal cases after
11
   someone is convicted. And the person can then appeal to
12
   the Court of Appeals and then you can only go to the
13
   Supreme Court if they want to listen to you. At this
14
   stage of the proceedings, I can't send you to the Supreme
15
   Court and I can't send you to some international court.
16
              THE DEFENDANT: You can't send me to the
17
   international court.
18
             THE COURT: I can't. They'll send you right
19
   back to me.
20
             THE DEFENDANT: The meaning of sitting here?
21
             THE COURT: They'll send you right back to me.
22
   They'll send you right back to me. I explained to you
2.3
   that --
24
             THE DEFENDANT: They will bring me back --
             THE COURT: They'll send you right back. I
25
```

1.3

2.3

#### Proceedings

can't send you to an international court. The international court it operates generally pursuant to the Treaty of Rome and has a prosecutor and it prosecutes people generally for violations of international law, customary international law and there's a prosecutor who decides who he wants to prosecute and who he doesn't want to prosecute.

So you're in this court and the question is how we're going to proceed in a way that's in your best interest and there are complicated -- criminal trials are complicated proceedings. They involve issues relating to the admissibility of evidence, to whether you should take the stand and speak in your own behalf or not. Your lawyers have to review the evidence offered by the government. Mr. Stern indicated for example, that he might have to travel to Europe to find evidence that could be helpful to you. None of this is anything that you could do for yourself.

And it's exceptionally foolish of you to attempt to do it yourself and it only redound to your — it won't redound in any way to your benefit and as I told you, you could wind up spending your life in some unpleasant jail in the United States unless you cooperate with your lawyers and unless you have a lawyer representing you.

```
20
                           Proceedings
 1
              And now that I read the Supreme Court case that
 2
   the government gave me, it's not so clear that you may
 3
   have an absolute right even if I go through the whole
 4
   allocution with you but you have to go through an
 5
   allocution with me. Otherwise, I can't -- an allocution
   meaning I ask you questions and you answer my questions
 6
 7
   in a normal, rational way and not in a rambling narrative
   of the kind we've just went through.
 8
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: If it's not the issue that you
   take me back to -- I don't understand what you say.
10
11
              THE COURT: I can't --
12
              THE DEFENDANT: If that's issue, take me back
13
   to Niger.
14
              THE COURT: Well --
15
              THE DEFENDANT: I will take me to my
16
    (indiscernible).
17
              THE COURT: I can't. I'm sorry. Could you
18
   speak up in the microphone?
19
              THE DEFENDANT: I say when (indiscernible)
20
   this, take me to my -- to government my land near me.
                                                            Ι
   will sent to -- government from -- you seem to
21
22
   government, my land, Niger. Come to here and understand
2.3
   what you -- or send me -- me, myself, to my land, to
24
   Niger. I cannot understand you.
25
              THE COURT: I can't do that. You're charged
```

```
21
                           Proceedings
 1
   with a crime. We have procedures here to be followed.
 2
   can't send you anywhere other than to jail for the rest
 3
   of your life, if you're convicted. The question is
 4
   whether you want to try and help yourself by behaving in
   a rational way and being represented by counsel or you
 5
 6
   want to not do that, which will only hurt you.
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: What you have with taking the
   international court under the --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: I can't send you to an
10
   international court and I can't send you to the Supreme
11
   Court of the United States. This case has to be resolved
12
   here and the question is what's the best way to resolve
1.3
   it and what's in your best interest.
14
              THE DEFENDANT: Here you in the United States,
15
   you became now a United Nation. You became now the
16
   United Nation and you want to judge everything. You --
17
   that's the -- was the control between you and I. And I'm
18
   -- okay, I'm just here alone or I'm your enemy and I'm
19
   here to fight you.
20
              THE COURT: Yes, but I'm not your enemy and I'm
21
   not here to fight you. I'm here to see that you get a
22
   fair trial and protect your constitutional rights.
2.3
              THE DEFENDANT: No, I say on myself, I am
24
   enemy. I fight you --
25
              THE COURT: You make a --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

### Proceedings

22

THE DEFENDANT: -- not to stay in America. And to now, only one. The war in Afghanistan it is not finished. And to now, me and Afghanistan.

THE COURT: Well, you could be -- you could -THE DEFENDANT: Okay. How could -- how could
my -- my enemy -- how can the Court that is my enemy then
give me a good judgment?

THE COURT: Well because the Court is not your I explained to you the first time you were here that the judges in the United States are part of an independent branch of government. I have life tenure. The government can't cut my salary if they don't like what I do. I think I told you when you were here the first time that I had written an opinion that was very critical of the President of the United States and the only thing he said was that he was going to take an appeal. So I'm here to protect your rights. I'm not your enemy. I don't regard you as my enemy. And the question is I want to -- I actually want to try to make sure that you don't do any unnecessary damage to yourself. If I were really your enemy, I would let you do everything you want and you would wind up in a jail that's buried in a mountain in Colorado for the rest of your life. So I'm trying to help you avoid that. And you are trying to do exactly what's against your best

Proceedings

interests.

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: What is my best interest -what is my best interest is when I was in prison, I wrote
to General Martin (ph.). I wrote him a letter about my
issues. And if he likes, he can take me to the military
courts and he'll can just invite all those soldiers of
Afghanistan and the ones of Pentagons because this issue
is the issue in this country in the United States.

They say in MCC that there's no response from the general, only God knows. And I wrote a letter also to the military court in Pentagon and they didn't answer me. And you didn't see me at that time when I was working. You don't know also the relationship I had with those people in Saudi Arabia before I got --

THE COURT: No, you've got to -- look --

THE DEFENDANT: I so want to (indiscernible).

17 | You don't have any reading --

THE COURT: Mr. Hausa? Mr. Hausa, I've let you speak for a long time and I think we have to draw to a close. Do you want to allow me to ask you questions which you will answer that I can make a judgment that you really want to waive your right to a lawyer or not?

THE DEFENDANT: If it's that the way you want to proceed, I have to -- then to say either you send me

to Guantanamo. I know that is our have -- or you could

24 Proceedings 1 send me to Colorado, do whatever you like. That's fine. That's fine. 2 3 THE COURT: So you don't want to let me go 4 through a question and answer to be sure you know what 5 you're doing? 6 THE DEFENDANT: The truth is that it's whatever 7 is really is not that a judgment. It's just you playing where the Courts on the -- there's nobody representing 8 the world here. There are no embassies here and we're in 10 your house and all of you are Americans. And you want to 11 judge me the way you want. You're right. And everybody 12 in this house knows best. I want to tell you one thing. 13 THE COURT: Just one more thing. Just one more 14 thing. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Islam Algiers, they put -- they 16 took some Americans on hostage and it's is not under the 17 judgment of the Algerians to kill them. Obama himself, 18 also he's talking about that issue. It's not good. If 19 it never went to -- I'm just like a hostage now in your 20 hands. You became now an Islamic American or whether --21 all you became now an American group. You took me here 22 and then you want to judge me within yourselves. You 2.3 don't need to ask me any questions; just do what you like 24 and this is whatever -- because I am a Muslim and you are Christians. And I'm your enemy and it's okay. Go ahead. 25

```
25
                           Proceedings
 1
   Do what you want. God is there and you're not Allah. And
 2
   I'm telling you that even Jesus is here, he's not going
 3
   to accept what you are doing.
 4
              THE COURT: So you don't want to let me ask you
   questions and answers, so that I can satisfy myself that
 5
   you know what you're doing?
 6
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: I am sorry. And with me, I
   have finished. So you don't have ask me any questions.
 8
 9
              MR. STERN: Judge, could I have one minute to
10
   talk to him?
11
              (Counsel and client confer)
12
              THE COURT: Mr. Stern, what do you want to do?
13
   Now, I don't understand what you want to do here? He
14
   doesn't want to --
15
              THE DEFENDANT: I mean, for a hundred year --
16
              THE COURT: I am not letting him waive his --
17
   I'm not going to let him proceed without counsel because
18
   he won't even cooperate in my asking him questions that
19
   would allow me to make even a preliminary judgment.
20
              THE DEFENDANT: I'm not going to answer you.
   If you give me 400 years, it's okay by me.
21
22
              THE COURT: I can't hear you.
2.3
              THE DEFENDANT: If you give me life, life
24
   sentence, just like Rachman (ph.), it's fine. I'm not
25
   going to answer you any questions.
```

```
26
                           Proceedings
 1
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 2
              Now, Mr. Stern, what do you want to do here?
 3
              THE DEFENDANT: He's not my lawyer.
 4
   represent myself.
 5
              THE COURT: Well I told you, you can't
 6
   represent yourself unless you let me go through and ask
 7
   you questions and answers to make sure you understand
   what you're doing. And that's only the beginning. If
 8
 9
   you don't want to, then he's going to represent you.
10
              THE DEFENDANT: I say do -- if that's a -- go
11
   -- go and do what you want to. As from today, I seal my
12
   mouth.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can -- you
14
   don't have to stay.
15
              THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. I don't have
16
   anything to say.
17
              (Defendant exits.)
18
              THE COURT: All right. He doesn't have to be
19
   here for discussions of legal issues and also, I can't
20
   conduct proceedings with him in the courtroom because of
   the way he's acting.
21
22
              MR. STERN: Are you looking to me for
2.3
   something?
24
              THE COURT: Well, whatever. We started off --
25
   you asked for --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

that be done.

27 Proceedings MR. ARIAIL: Yes, your Honor, actually we asked for something too which was to have Dr. Mills consider the Indiana v. Edwards factors. Obviously the allocution did not go forward here but we would still request that THE COURT: All right. MR. ARIAIL: And if defense counsel has additional applications, obviously he can make them. THE COURT: Well you could ask him, considering -- I mean, I don't -- go ahead. Ask him to consider it. MR. STERN: I think, Judge, that I have to give

this a little thought. I mean he is obviously not going to cooperate with me in any way. He's not going to talk to me about the case. He's not going to do anything that allows me to prepare the case.

I don't know if that makes him incompetent or willful. I'll have to look into that. But the idea of doing this case where I think you've had some taste of what it's like to try to talk to him about the case is a little bit daunting. So I have to think about what applications I want to make and how I would go about doing it. I know the government has material to give me. I'll obviously review the material they have.

They've proposed a schedule and as long as I'm still his lawyer, that schedule is fine with me. I have

28 Proceedings 1 no objection to the schedule. 2 THE COURT: Why aren't you still his lawyer? 3 MR. STERN: Well I am. 4 THE COURT: Unless you want to withdraw? 5 MR. STERN: No, I'm not -- I don't mean to 6 laugh but I'm not seeking to withdraw. I'm seeking to 7 figure out how to proceed really. So at least for the time being, I'm going to consent to that schedule and 8 think about the things that I need to do to proceed with 10 this case. I'm really a little bit at sea. I've never 11 had exactly this situation before. 12 THE COURT: Well look, in most cases if you --13 step back for a moment. Notwithstanding a lot of the 14 rhetoric and opinions about the defendant's ability to 15 cooperate with counsel, the truth is that the trial 16 proceeds with the government putting in its case and you 17 trying to tear it down and often there's very little that 18 a defendant could actually contribute to his own defense, 19 even if he tells you he's innocent. 20 MR. STERN: You're stuck. 21 THE COURT: I mean the reality is is that you 22 could probably -- you and Ms. Kellman are competent 2.3 enough to try and tear down the government's case and he 24 may or may not help you because I don't -- you know, 25 according to Dr. Mills, if he -- part of this -- his

```
29
                           Proceedings
1
   conduct, is volitional --
 2
              MR. STERN: Yes, I think what you may have --
 3
              THE COURT: -- and that they're -- and he might
 4
   decide at some point that he wants to cooperate
 5
   particularly if he's not going to let me ask him
 6
   questions to get a waiver of his rights, then as far as
 7
   I'm concerned, that's the end of the discussion.
 8
              MR. STERN: That's fine.
 9
              THE COURT: Unless, you know, unless -- I mean
10
   I can't -- I think that he's not competent to represent
11
   himself.
12
              MR. STERN: Yes. All right. I mean I think
13
   that the problem may be if he won't let us attack the
14
   government's case, he seems to be quite intent on doing
15
   something other than that but all I can tell you is I'll
16
   try and figure it out. I'm going to try and meet with
17
   him. I'm not sure he'll meet with me and see what I can
18
   figure out.
19
              I know I am not helping anybody much by saying
20
   that but I don't have good answers to these things that
21
   I've never encountered before. So I'll try and figure it
22
         That's the best I can tell you. I would like, if
2.3
   we can, to come back on the 25th. Hopefully I'll have
24
   some answers for you by then and we'll see where we are.
              THE CLERK: 2:30, counsel?
25
```

```
30
                           Proceedings
 1
              (Pause)
 2
              THE CLERK:
                         How's October 25th, counsel?
 3
              MS. HECTOR: That's fine.
              THE CLERK: You want to do it at noon?
 4
 5
              MR. STERN: That's fine.
              MR. ARIAIL: That's fine for the government.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Well you could ask Dr. Mills to do
 8
   whatever it is you want him to do with this.
 9
              MR. ARIAIL: Certainly, your Honor. And then
10
   ultimately, your Honor, obviously we have based on what
11
   Dr. Mills has said already, you know, we're making an
12
   application for the Court to find him competent and you
13
   know, obviously we could wait until the rest of the
14
   report comes in or you can consider that at this time but
15
    I think a finding actually needs to be made by the Court
16
   that is competent to stand trial before we could proceed.
17
              THE COURT: But do you want to have any further
18
   psychiatric evaluations?
19
              MR. STERN: I think I have to talk with -- it
20
   strikes me that some of the things he says are irrational
21
   but some of the things he says are not. So I don't
22
   really know enough to make that decision.
2.3
              THE COURT: Okay.
24
              MR. STERN: I read Dr. Mills' report. I know
25
   what his opinion about it is.
```

```
31
                           Proceedings
 1
              THE COURT: I don't -- well, I don't know that
 2
   he said anything rational today. I mean it's just a
 3
   rambling.
 4
              MR. STERN: So I think that will be one --
 5
              THE COURT: Totally irrational -- totally
   irrational presentation.
 6
 7
              MR. ARIAIL: Your Honor, I think you also --
 8
   you know, we do have to consider in terms of the context
   of where he has been over the last, you know, twenty
   years and his circumstances and his ideologies are very
10
11
   complex and obviously not what we are used to dealing
12
   with and I think that in that context, I think Dr. Mills
13
   opined that he was essentially rational in his approach
14
   to his proceedings.
15
              So while we may not --
              THE COURT: I don't know -- you could give him
16
17
   the transcript and ask him if he thinks that this is
18
   rational.
19
              MR. ARIAIL: I will provide it to him, of
20
   course, along with any other information that we've
21
   uncovered.
22
              THE COURT: I me4an I don't -- I could send him
2.3
   to a Butner for a psychiatric evaluation but he may come
24
   back worse.
25
              MR. ARIAIL: I can tell you also too, your
```

32 Proceedings 1 Honor, you know that in terms of his interactions with 2 defense counsel and the government at times, that they're 3 perfectly rational. He's incredibly intelligent. 4 a memory that is phenomenal and much of the information 5 that he is providing to the Court to the Secretary 6 General of the United States, to defense counsel, to everyone, and I'm not speaking about all of it but 7 significant aspects of it are accurate and corroborated. 8 9 In particular, obviously you know as we talked 10 about before, he was involved in a battle in Afghanistan 11 in which U.S. soldiers were actually killed. 12 fingerprint was found on a Koran that was recovered at 13 that battle. So you know, just to point out that there 14 are some pieces to his story that are incredibly 15 corroborated and suggestive of someone who is actually 16 talking about things he knows about. 17 THE CLERK: Counsel, do you want --18 THE COURT: And it's a policy of the 19 government, the department, to prosecute cases like this 20 in a normal criminal proceeding? 21 MR. ARIAIL: It is, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: When did that happen? 2.3 MR. ARIAIL: That's what we're here for, the 24 United States Attorney's Office represents the Department

25

of Justice.

```
33
                            Proceedings
 1
              THE CLERK: We need an OED, Judge. You've
 2
   previously designated this case complex. There is the
 3
   defendant's pro se motion to proceed pro se and review of
   materials for Dr. Mills --
 4
 5
              MR. ARIAIL: That's correct.
 6
              THE COURT: -- from now until October 25th.
 7
              MR. STERN: And I consent to that. There's a
   lot to be done here.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: All right. I continue the complex
10
   designation until October 25th. In the meantime, I am
11
   going to sign this order. Do I have to sign this or is
12
   it just simply for my --
13
              MR. ARIAIL: I think it has a spot for your
14
    signature, your Honor. So we can --
15
              THE CLERK: I can sign it online, Judge.
16
              MR. ARIAIL: -- work without it --
17
              THE COURT: I'll sign it now.
                   (Matter concluded)
18
19
                         -000-
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

34

I, LINDA FERRARA, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this 1st day of October, 2013.

Linda Ferrara

CET\*\*D 656
Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.